

Neogene mammalian fauna in Myanmar

Masanaru Takai¹, Haruo Saegusa², Thaug-Htike¹, Zin-Maung-Maung-Thein¹

¹ Primate Research Institute, Kyoto University, Inuyama 484-8506, Japan

² Institute of Natural and Environmental Sciences, University of Hyogo, Sanda 669-1546, Japan

Abstract

In this paper, we review the Neogene mammalian fossils from the Pegu and Irrawaddy beds of Myanmar, comparing them with the contemporaneous mammalian faunas of south Asia (India and Pakistan) and east Asia (China). Although fossil specimens discovered in Myanmar so far are scarce, preliminary analysis of their faunal composition suggests greater similarity of the Myanmar fauna to the south Asian fauna than to the east Asian fauna until the Pliocene. The faunal interchange between Myanmar and east Asia (southern China) seems to have increased after the Pleistocene.

Introduction

Since the 19th century, many mammalian fossils have been reported from the Neogene sediments of Myanmar (e.g., Falconer, 1868). Much fossil material was collected by the paleontological expedition led by Dr Barnum Brown of the American Museum of Natural History in 1923, and was described by Colbert (1938). However, most of these specimens were of large mammals, such as elephants or herbivorous ungulates, and the locality data and/or geological ages of most specimens are inadequate for modern paleontological study.

Since 1998, the Myanmar–Japan Joint Expedition Team has continued paleontological work in central Myanmar, especially at the latest middle Eocene Pondaung Formation and the late Miocene to early Pleistocene Irrawaddy beds, primarily with the intention of finding primate fossils (Figure 1).

The Irrawaddy beds are usually correlated with the Siwalik Group in Indo–Pakistan, the thickness of which is estimated to be 2,000–5,000 m (Bender, 1983), and the Irrawaddy mammalian fauna is very similar to the Siwalik fauna (Figure 2). The geological age of the Siwalik Group in northern Pakistan is now considered to be about 18.3–1.5 Ma (Barry *et al.*, 2002; Nelson, 2003). It has traditionally been divided into three parts: the Lower, Middle, and Upper Siwaliks (Barry *et al.*, 2002; Nelson, 2003). According to recent comprehensive work by the Harvard University team in the Potwar Plateau in northern Pakistan, the Lower Siwalik (18.3–11.2 Ma) consists of the Kamliak (or Murree) and Chinji Formations, the




Figure 1. Index map of Myanmar, indicating four major geological regions and the fossil localities of the “Freshwater Pegu,” Irrawaddy, and Post Irrawaddy faunas. [1] Eastern Highland (= Sino-Burman Ranges); [2] Central Tertiary Basin (CTB) (= Central Tertiary Belt or Inner Burman Tertiary Basin); [3] Western Ranges (= Indo-Burman Ranges); [4] Rakhine Coastal Plain (= Arakan Coastal Area). 1, Mingin (Lower Irrawaddy); 2, Malé (Upper Pegu and Lower Irrawaddy); 3, Gangaw (Lower and Upper Irrawaddy); 4, Tilin (Lower and Upper Irrawaddy); 5, Thanbinkan, Nwegwe (Upper Pegu and Lower Irrawaddy); 6, Pauk (Lower Irrawaddy); 7, Sagaing (Lower and Upper Irrawaddy); 8, Gwebin (Lower and Upper Irrawaddy); 9, Chauk (Lower and Upper Irrawaddy); 10, Yenangyaung (Lower and Upper Irrawaddy); 11, Tebingan, Thityagauk (Lower and Upper? Irrawaddy); 12, Mogok Caves (Pleistocene); 13, Moebye Cave (Pleistocene); 14, Sinbaungwe (Lower and Upper Irrawaddy); 15, Nyaung Oo (middle Pleistocene Terrace deposits); 16, Tado Oo (Upper Pegu); 17, Buddawzinaw Cave (Pleistocene Cave deposits); 18, Singu (Pleistocene Terrace deposits).

Middle Siwalik (11.2–3.5 Ma) consists of the Nagri and Dhok Pathan Formations, and the Upper Siwalik (3.5–1.5 Ma) is the Soan (or Samwal) Formation (or the Tatrot Formation) (Barry, 1995; Barry *et al.*, 1995; Nelson, 2003).

Many researchers have studied the faunal turnover in the Siwalik fauna (e.g., Barry, 1995; Barry *et al.*, 2002; Nelson, 2003). According to these studies, there seems to have been two major faunal turnovers at 13.5–13.0 Ma and 8.5–8.0 Ma, and one minor turnover at 7.3–7.0 Ma. There was also a floral transition from C3-plants-dominant forest conditions to a C4-plants-dominant open environment at about 8–7 Ma (Quade *et al.*, 1989; Quade and Cerling, 1995; Cerling *et al.*, 1997). Although it is uncertain whether the faunal and floral transitions that occurred in northern Pakistan occurred in the Neogene of Myanmar, such a comparison would provide valuable information for the paleoenvironmental analysis of the Irrawaddy fauna.




Figure 2. Correlations of the Neogene sediments of Myanmar and Siwaliks.

At present, unfortunately, most of the Neogene mammalian fossils collected in Myanmar are fragmentary and their locality names are often unreliable, so the available data are still far from the level required to construct a paleontological framework. In this paper, we review the previously discovered mammalian fossil specimens from the Neogene sediments in Myanmar and their locality data. We also attempt a preliminary analysis of the Neogene fauna in Myanmar, comparing it with the paleontological data for contemporaneous faunas in south Asia (Siwaliks and Bugti) and east Asia (China) (Figure 3).

Geological Setting

Geologically, Myanmar can be subdivided into four north–south trending regions (Figure 1): [1] Eastern Highlands (= Sino-Burman Ranges), which consist of Shan Plateau and Tanintharyi Ranges; [2] Central Tertiary Basin (CTB; = Central Tertiary Belt or Inner Burman Tertiary Basin); [3] Western Ranges (= Indo–Burman Ranges), which consist of the Rakhine, Chin, and Naga Ranges; [4] Rakhine Coastal Plain (= Arakan Coastal Area) (see Stamp, 1922; Chhibber, 1934; Bender, 1983; Kyi Khin and Myitta, 1999).

Neogene freshwater sediments, which yield many mammalian fossils, are only distributed in the CTB and mainly consist of two sedimentary units: the Freshwater Pegu Beds (= freshwater sediments of the Pegu Group, Oligocene through to middle Miocene) and Irrawaddy sediments (= Irrawaddy Group, late Miocene through to early Pleistocene).

The Pegu Group (Oligocene to middle Miocene) and its equivalents in Myanmar are




Figure 3. Index map of Eurasia, indicating the Neogene fossil localities mentioned in the text. 1, Myanmar localities; 2, northern Thailand localities; 3, Potwar (Pakistan); 4, Pinjor (India); 5, Bugti (Pakistan); 6, Lufeng & Yuanmou (Yunnan Province); 7, Xiejia (Qinghai Province); 8, Sihong (Jiangsu Province); 9, Shangwan (Shandong Province); 10, Tun-gur (Inner Mongolia); 11, Bahe (Shaanxi Province); 12, Baode (Shangxi Province); 13, Ertemte (Inner Mongolia); 14, Yushe (Shangxi Province); 15, Liuchang (Guangxi Province); 16, Tongxin (Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region); 17, Wudu (Gansu Province); 18, Keiyuan (Yunnan Province); 19, Baoshan (Yunnan Province); 20, Khorat (Thailand); 21, Maragheh (Iran); 22, Molayan (Afghanistan); 23, Kuruk-Say (Tajikistan).

characterized by marine sediments in the south and are mainly continental in the north, where their transition boundary occurs in the area between latitudes 20–22° N (Stamp, 1922; Aung Khin and Kyaw Win, 1969; Bender, 1983). There are various stratigraphic names for the freshwater sediments of the Pegu Group: the Freshwater Pegu beds (Stamp, 1922; Colbert, 1938), the Freshwater Formation of the Pegu Group (Aung Khin and Kyaw Win, 1969), and the Khabo Formation (= Khabo Sandstone) for the upper part of the middle Miocene equivalent of the Pegu Group (Myint Thein, 1966; Kyi Khin and Myitta, 1999). In this paper, we tentatively use the term “Freshwater Pegu beds” for the Oligocene to middle Miocene freshwater sediments of Myanmar.

The Irrawaddy sediments were originally described as the “Irrawaddy beds” or “Irrawaddy series” (e.g., Pilgrim, 1910b; Colbert, 1935b), and are now called the “Irrawaddy Formation” mainly by Myanmar researchers (e.g., Aung Khin and Kyaw Win, 1969; Kyi Khin and Myitta, 1999) or the “Irrawaddy Group” by others (e.g., Bender, 1983). Considering the long time span from the late Miocene to the early Pleistocene, the Irrawaddy sediments



Figure 4. Right mandible with M₂ and M₃ of *Brachypotherium*.

probably correspond to a group, rather than to a formation, by modern stratigraphic criteria. In this paper, we tentatively use the term “Irrawaddy sediments” or “Irrawaddy beds”.

The Freshwater Pegu beds and Irrawaddy sediments are distributed widely along the Irrawaddy River (= Ayeyarwady River) and Chindwin River in the CTB. The estimated thickness of the Irrawaddy sediments is more than 2,000 m (Bender, 1983), and they are traditionally divided into two parts, the Lower Irrawaddy (upper Miocene through to the Pliocene) and Upper Irrawaddy (early Pleistocene) (e.g., Colbert, 1938, 1943). However, the stratigraphy of the Freshwater Pegu beds and Irrawaddy sediments is not yet clearly determined and there are no data on the absolute ages based on radioisotopes or paleomagnetism.

“Freshwater Pegu” Fauna (Table 1A)

To date, only three orders (Perissodactyla, Artiodactyla, and Proboscidea), seven families (Deinotheriidae, Gomphotheriidae, Amarynodontidae, Rhinocerotidae, Suidae, Anthracotheriidae, and Tragulidae), and 12 genera of mammals have been reported from the Freshwater Pegu and its equivalent beds (Table 1A). Most of these mammals seem to be survivors of archaic Oligocene forms.

Perissodactyla:

Four genera, *Cadurcotherium* (Amarynodontidae), *Brachypotherium*, *Diceratherium*, and *Aceratherium* (Rhinocerotidae), have been discovered.

Cadurcotherium was collected from the northwest of Myaing, Magway Division, central Myanmar, but there is no figure of the specimen in the description papers (Pilgrim, 1910b; Stamp, 1922; Colbert, 1938). *Cadurcotherium* was originally discovered in the Lower Siwalik, but the description of the specimen is far from adequate (Pilgrim, 1910a). *Cadurcotherium* is the last survivor of the amarynodontids, the primitive rhinoceros, retaining hypsodont teeth (Wall, 1989). They have been discovered in the Oligocene sediments of Europe and also in the Oligocene of the Bugti Hills, southern Pakistan (Welcomme *et al.*, 1999, 2001). *Brachypotherium* is a large, hornless, hippopotamus-like aquatic rhinoceros




Figure 5. Left mandibular fragment with P₃₋₄ cf. *Conohyus indicus* (a) and right mandibular fragment with P₄-M₂ of *Tetraconodon* (b).

with hypsodont teeth (Figure 4), distributed in Eurasia and Africa during the late Oligocene through to the Miocene. In Myanmar, it has been discovered in the Freshwater Pegu deposits near Thanbinkan village in Chaung-U, Sagaing Division. It has also been discovered in the early Miocene of the Bugti Hills, Pakistan (Welcomme and Ginsburg, 1997; Welcomme *et al.*, 2001), and from the Lower and Middle Siwaliks (Barry, 1995). In China, it has been reported from early Miocene localities, such as Xiejia (Qinghai Province), Shanwang (Shandong Province), and Puzhen (Jiangshu Province) (Li *et al.*, 1984; Qiu, 1990). *Brachypotherium* has also been discovered in the Lower Irrawaddy sediments.

In contrast, *Diceratherium*, a paired-horned rhinoceros, seems to have originated in North America and invaded Eurasia during the early Miocene (Prothero *et al.*, 1989). It has been discovered in the late Oligocene through to the middle Miocene of North America, from the early Miocene of western Europe, and from the Oligocene of Bugti, Pakistan (Welcomme *et al.*, 2001). In Myanmar, it has been reported from the Maw gravels in Gangaw, Magway Division, together with *Aceratherium*, a hornless rhinoceros, *Tetrabelodon* (Gomphotheriidae), *Deinotherium* (Deinotheriidae), and *Hemimeryx* and *Anthracotherium* (Anthracotheriidae) (Cotter, 1938). It has been suggested that the lower Maw beds correspond to the Freshwater Pegu beds.

Artiodactyla:

Seven genera of three families (Suidae, Anthracotheriidae, and Tragulidae) have so far been described from the middle Miocene Freshwater Pegu beds.

Among the Suidae, *Listriodon* (Listriodontinae) (Cotter, 1938), and *Conohyus* and *Tetraconodon* (Tetraconodontinae) (Thaung-Htike *et al.*, 2005) have been discovered. Listriodontine suids inhabited Africa and Eurasia during the Miocene. According to Made (1996), *Listriodon* seems to have evolved from *Bunolistriodon* in the early middle Miocene, about 13.8 Ma. *Listriodon* has been discovered in the middle Miocene of Bugti (Welcomme *et al.*, 2001) and in the Lower to Middle Siwaliks (Pilgrim, 1926; Barry, 1995). In China, it has been reported from middle Miocene localities at Lengshuigou (Shaanxi Province) and

Table 1. List of mammalian fauna of the “Freshwater Pegu”

“Freshwater Pegu” Fauna

ARTIODACTYLA

Suidae

Tetraconodontinae

cf. *Conohyus* sp.

Tetraconodon malensis

Tetraconodon sp. indet.

Listriodontinae

Listriodon sp.

Anthracotheriidae

Anthracotherium sp.

Hemimeryx sp.

Telmatodon sp.

Tragulidae

Dorcatherium sp.

PERISSODACTYLA

Rhinocerotidae

Aceratherium perimense

Diceratherium naricum

Brachypotherium sp.

Amylodontidae

Cadurcotherium sp.

PROBOSCIDEA

Deinotheriidae

Prodeinotherium sp.

Gomphotheriidae

Choerolophodon corrugatus

gen. et sp. indet.

Tung-gur (Inner Mongolia) (Li *et al.*, 1984; Qiu, 1990).

Of the two tetraconodontines, *Conohyus* (Figure 5A) and *Tetraconodon* (Figure 5B), the former is more primitive morphologically, and was discovered in the Lower and Middle Siwaliks (Pilgrim, 1926; Colbert, 1935a; Pickford and Gupta, 2001) and in the middle Miocene of northern Thailand (Ducrocq *et al.*, 1997), whereas the latter is considered more derived and has been collected from the Middle and Upper Siwaliks (Pickford, 1988). In Myanmar, cf. *Conohyus* has been found near Chaung-U, Sagaing Division. The middle Miocene *Tetraconodon* is reported only from Myanmar (Thaung-Htike *et al.*, 2005), and this genus seems to have derived from *Conohyus* in Myanmar as early as the late middle Miocene.

Three genera of Anthracotheriidae (*Anthracotherium*, *Hemimeryx*, and *Telmatodon*) have been reported to date (Pilgrim, 1910b; Colbert, 1938). *Anthracotherium* and *Hemimeryx* have been discovered in the lower and middle Miocene of Bugti (Pickford, 1987) and in the Lower and Middle Siwaliks (Barry, 1995). Pilgrim (1910b) reported an isolated lower molar of *Telmatodon* collected at Maingyaung, near Pakokku, but there is no figure of the specimen.

Telmatodon has also been discovered in the upper Oligocene/lower Miocene of Bugti (Pickford, 1987) and from the Chinji Formation of the Lower Siwaliks. These anthracotherids were widely distributed in south Asia during the early Miocene, but there is no fossil record from the contemporaneous sediments in China, probably because there was no adequate environment for aquatic anthracotheres in the inland areas of China.

Dorcatherium (Tragulidae) was a small ruminant that preferred relatively humid and forested conditions (Agustí and Antón, 2002). It has been discovered in the early to late Miocene sediments of Africa, Europe, and eastern Asia, suggesting a faunal interchange between Africa and Eurasia during the early Miocene. In Myanmar, it has been discovered in Yenangyaung, Magway Division (Pilgrim, 1910b; Colbert, 1938). In south Asia, many *Dorcatherium* specimens have been discovered in the Lower through to the Upper Siwaliks (Pickford *et al.*, 2000), whereas in China, it has been collected only from the lower Miocene of Sihong (Jiangsu Province) (Li *et al.*, 1984).

Proboscidea:

Although it has generally been believed that no proboscidean fossils have been reported from the Freshwater Pegu, Cotter (1938) reported “*Dinotherium*” from the Maw gravels in Gangaw (Magway Division), which has now been correlated with the middle Miocene Freshwater Pegu (Bender, 1983). Recently, we observed the proboscidean fossil specimens collected from the Freshwater Pegu and its equivalent sediments in Myanmar, and identified three taxonomic groups (*Prodeinotherium* sp., *Choerolophodon corrugatus*, and a trilophodont gomphothere).

The dental specimens of *Prodeinotherium* sp. (Figure 6A) are very similar in size to those of *Prodeinotherium pentapotaminae*, which was found in the Lower Siwaliks (the Kamliyal and Chinji Formations) (Dehm, 1963; Harris, 1973). Although *Prodeinotherium* and *Deinotherium* are apparently distinguishable on their cranial and postcranial morphologies (Harris, 1973; Huttunen, 2002), it is rather difficult to distinguish them only by their dental characters. Harris (1973) proposed that *Prodeinotherium* differs from *Deinotherium* in some features of the molars, such as the degree of development of the postmetaloph ornamentation in M²⁻³. However, Huttunen (2002) insists that the individual variation in these dental features is very great and that the only definitive criterion between them is their size. Here, we treat the deinotheriids from the Freshwater Pegu as *Prodeinotherium* sp., because it is so difficult to differentiate *Prodeinotherium* discovered in the Old World (Europe, Africa, and Asia) at the species level that many researchers have treated them as conspecific (Harris, 1976; Huttunen, 2002).

Choerolophodon is a relatively primitive Elephantoidea known from the early to late Miocene of Africa, Europe, and western/southern Asia (Tassy, 1989). Pickford (2001) subdivided the early, primitive member of the genus into a different genus, *Afrochoerodon*, which is now widely accepted by many researchers. However, the reclassification of




Figure 6. Right M_1 of *Prodeinotherium* (A) and an isolate lower? molar of *Choerolophodon* (B).

Choerolophodon and *Afrochoerodon* still seems far from resolved (Sanders and Miller, 2002). *Choerolophodon corrugatus* is a relatively derived member of the genus, and was discovered in the Lower and Middle Siwaliks. The Myanmar specimen (M^3 , Figure 6b) is very similar to the Siwalik specimen of *C. corrugatus* in both size and morphology (Tassy, 1983), so it has been identified as the same species. Tobien *et al.* (1986) and Guan (1996) have reviewed the fossil record of *Choerolophodon*, but at least some of the specimens are apparently *Sinomastodon* (Chen, 1999) and others are unlikely to be *Choerolophodon*. The discovery of *Choerolophodon* in the Freshwater Pegu is the easternmost fossil recorded so far.

Some dental materials (P^4 , M^1 , M^2) of the trilophodont gomphothere have been found in the Freshwater Pegu at Thanginkin, Chaung-U Township (Sagaing Division). No definitive dental characters can be observed in this specimen, so it is impossible to identify it at a more detailed taxonomic rank. Additional specimens, such as well-preserved incisors, would be useful in determining the genus and species of this animal.

On the Eurasian continent, the oldest fossil record of Elephantoidea is a fragment of an incisor collected from the late Oligocene Chitarwata Formation in the Bugti Hills, Pakistan (Antoine *et al.*, 2003). The coexistence of *Choerolophodon corrugatus* and *Prodeinotherium* sp. and the fact that the elephantoideans from the Freshwater Pegu are apparently more derived than the Bugti forms indicate a middle Miocene age for the Freshwater Pegu.

Thus, the Pegu mammalian fauna is similar to contemporaneous southern Asian faunas, such as those of the Lower and Middle Siwaliks and Bugti. In contrast, some large aquatic animals, such as anthracotheres and the *Brachypotherium* rhinoceros, have not been discovered in the contemporaneous sediments of China, suggesting differences in the ecological environments of southern Asia and the inland areas of China.

Irrawaddy Fauna (Table 1B-C)

The Irrawaddy sediments are usually divided into the lower and upper parts based on the mammalian fauna: the Lower Irrawaddy is late Miocene through to Pliocene and the Upper Irrawaddy is early Pleistocene (Stamp, 1922; Colbert, 1938). To date, four mammalian orders (Carnivora, Proboscidea, Perissodactyla, and Artiodactyla) including 14 families have been discovered; however, these contain no small mammals, such as rodents, lagomorphs,

or insectivores, so it is impossible to make detailed comparisons with the contemporaneous faunas of southern or eastern Asia. Here, we draw preliminary faunal comparisons based on large to medium-sized mammalian genera.

Carnivora:

The only carnivorous mammal collected from the Lower Irrawaddy near Magway, Magway District, is *Amphicyon* (Amphicyonidae) (Figure 7). *Amphicyon* is a “cosmopolitan” animal, which inhabited a vast area of the northern hemisphere from the late Oligocene through to the late Miocene. In Asia, it has been reported from the lower to middle Miocene of Bugti (Welcomme *et al.*, 2001), from the Lower and Middle Siwaliks (Barry, 1995), from the late Miocene Tung-gur Fauna (Inner Mongolia), and from the middle to late Miocene beds of Yunnan Province, China (Li *et al.*, 1984; Qiu, 1990; He, 1997).

Proboscidea:

In addition to the two proboscidean families, Stegodontidae and Elephantidae, which were reported from the Irrawaddy sediments (Clift, 1828; Falconer and Cautley, 1846; Colbert, 1938; Colbert 1943), Gomphotheriidae was confirmed in the fossil specimens housed at the National Museum of Myanmar. Here, we first review the fossil taxa of Stegodontidae and Elephantidae, both known since the 20th century, and then add some new findings.

Stegolophodon latidens and *Stegodon elephantoides* (Stegodontidae) are among the mammalian fossils first described from the Irrawaddy sediments, and are the type specimens of these two genera (Osborn, 1942; Hopwood, 1935). Clift (1828) described two Irrawaddian proboscideans, *Mastodon latidens* and *Mastodon elephantoides*. However, Falconer and Cautley (1846) recognized only *Mastodon latidens* and renamed *Mastodon elephantoides* *Elephas clifti*, regarding the former name as *nomen nudum*. Falconer and Cautley (1846) thought that *M. elephantoides* should be included in the genus *Elephas* and that Clift (1828) had incorrectly used the figure of *M. elephantoides* to represent *Mastodon latidens*. Falconer and Cautley (1846) categorized *Elephas clifti* in the subgenus *Stegodon*, together with *Elephas insignis*, *E. ganesa*, and *E. bombifrons* from the Siwaliks. Thus, *Stegodon clifti* was widely used instead of *Mastodon elephantoides* until the 1930s. Osborn (1942) reviewed the Stegodontoidea and Elephantoidea in his monographic tome, and revived *elephantoides* as the specific name, regarding the discussion of Falconer and Cautley (1846) as inadequate. Now, all the relatively primitive stegodons from the Irrawaddy sediments of Myanmar are called *Stegodon elephantoides*. Meanwhile, the lectotypes of *Stegolophodon latidens* and *Stegodon elephantoides* were indicated by Colbert (1938). Osborn (1929) founded the subspecies *Stegodon insignis birmanicus* for the relatively derived stegodon fossils collected from the Upper Irrawaddy sediments.

Mastodon latidens was moved to the genus *Stegolophodon*, which was founded by Schlesinger (1917). *Hypselephas hysudricus* from the Upper Irrawaddy sediments was

Table 2. List of mammalian fauna of the Lower Irrawaddy (A) and Upper Irrawaddy (B) faunas.

A. Lower Irrawaddy Fauna

CARNIVORA

- Amphicyonidae
- Amphicyon* sp. indet.

ARTIODACTYLA

- Suidae
- Tetraconodontinae
- Tetraconodon minor*
- Tetraconodon* cf. *magnus*
- Tetraconodon* cf. *intermedius*
- Sivachoerus prior*
- Parachleuastochoerus* sp. indet.

- Suinae
- Proptamochoerus hysudricus*

- Anthracotheriidae
- Merycopotamus dissimilis*

- Hippopotamidae
- Hexaprotodon iravaticus*
- Hexaprotodon sivalensis*

- Giraffidae
- Hydaspitherium birmanicum*
- Vishnutherium iravaticum*

- Bovidae
- Pachyportax latidens*
- Proleptobos birmanicum*
- Hemibos* sp.

PERISSODACTYLA

- Equidae
- Hipparion antelopinum*

- Rhinocerotidae
- Aceratherium lydekkeri*
- Brachypothium* sp.

PROBOSCIDEA

- Stegodontidae
- Stegolophodon latidens*
- Stegolophodon stegodontoides* (large)
- Stegodon* sp. indet. (most primitive)
- Stegodon elephantoides* (primitive)

- Gomphotheriidae
- Sinomastodon* sp.

B. Upper Irrawaddy Fauna

ARTIODACTYLA

- Suidae
- Potamochoerus* sp.
- Anthracotheriidae
- Merycopotamus dissimilis*
- Hippopotamidae
- Hexaprotodon iravaticus*
- Hexaprotodon palaeindicus*

- Tragulidae
- Dorcabune* sp.

- Cervidae
- Cervus* sp.

- Bovidae
- Bovinae
- Proleptobos birmanicus*
- Hemibos triquetricornis*
- Bos* cf. *sondaicus*

- ?Boselapini
- gen. et sp. indet.

- Caprinae
- Capricornis* cf. *sumatrensis*
- gen. et sp. indet.

- Antilopinae
- ?*Gazella* sp.

- ?Hippotraginae
- gen. et sp. indet.

PERISSODACTYLA

- Equidae
- Equus yunnanensis*
- Chalicotheriidae
- cf. *Nestoritherium* sp.

- Rhinocerotidae
- Rhinoceros sivalensis*
- Rhinoceros sondaicus*

PROBOSCIDEA

- Stegodontidae
- Stegodon insignis birmanicus* (derived)
- Stegodon* sp. indet. (most derived)
- Elephantidae
- Elephas* (= *Hypselephas*) *hysudricus*

described by Colbert (1938, 1943), but it is now considered by most researchers to be a junior synonym of *Elephas*. Since the 1940s, there have been few paleontological studies of proboscidean fossils from the Irrawaddy sediments. However, Tassy (1983) noted the possible variations in size of the Irrawaddian specimens, all of which have been referred to *Stegolophodon latidens*. Our preliminary observation of the proboscidean fossils also supports the view of Tassy (1983). The lectotype of *Stegolophodon latidens*, which was assigned by Colbert (1938), is relatively small, whereas most *Stegolophodon* specimens




Figure 7. Left maxilla with P⁴-M² of *Amphicyon* sp.

collected from the Irrawaddy sediments are much larger than the lectotype. The size difference between them probably greatly exceeds the individual variation within the same species of elephants. Moreover, most of the large *Stegolophodon* specimens from the Irrawaddy sediments are identified as *Stegolophodon stegodontoides* (or its close relatives), which is found in the Siwaliks. There seems to be two species in Irrawaddian *Stegolophodon*, representing earlier and later forms.

It is widely accepted that there are two species of *Stegodon* from the Irrawaddy sediments, the relatively primitive *Stegodon elephantoides* and the relatively derived *Stegodon insignis birmanicus* (Figure 8B-C). In addition to these two species, we recently identified another two forms among the fossil specimens housed at the National Museum in Yangon. One specimen is more primitive than *Stegodon elephantoides*, and similar to the specimen collected from a late Miocene locality (Tha Chang) in Thailand (Saegusa *et al.*, 2005), whereas the other, the locality of which is unfortunately unknown, is more derived than *Stegodon insignis birmanicus*. Therefore, there are at least four types of *Stegodon* forms, probably representing different evolutionary stages of this genus. The classification of the *Stegodon* fossils of Myanmar would be useful for the Neogene biostratigraphy not only of Myanmar but also of Southeast Asia.

In this paper, we first report two forms of Gomphotheriidae from the Irrawaddy sediments: one is *Sinomastodon* sp. and the other is probably a new genus and species of gomphothere. The specimen of *Sinomastodon* sp. is a maxillopalatine bone preserving the intermediate molars, housed at the National Museum in Yangon (Figure 8A). *Sinomastodon* is a gomphothere known from the latest Miocene through to the Pleistocene in China, and the oldest fossil record is reported from the Miocene/Pliocene boundary in Yushe locality, Shanxi Province, northern China (Tobien *et al.*, 1986; Flynn *et al.*, 1991, 1997; Saegusa *et al.*, 2005). The Irrawaddian *Sinomastodon* is more derived than those from Yushe, and seems more similar to the “moderately” derived forms, such as *S. yanyuanensis* (Zong, 1987) or *S. hanjiangensis* (Zong *et al.*, 1989). Although all the Chinese *Sinomastodon* have long been classified as *S. intermedius* (Teilhard and Trassaert, 1937; Tobien *et al.*, 1986), some derived




Figure 8. Palatine with left dP^4 - M^1 and right M^1 of *Sinomastodon* (A), Left mandible with dP^4 - M^1 of *Stegodon* (B), and maxilla with upper molars of *Stegodon* (C).

forms, such as *S. yanyuanensis* and *S. hanjiangensis*, are proposed from southern China. It is necessary to review these *Sinomastodon* forms based on many morphological characters, as Chen (1999) has done. On the other hand, the fossil materials of the new gomphothere are only known from two isolated molars. Further specimens are required for a more detailed description of this new form.

Thus, various kinds of proboscideans have been found in the Irrawaddy sediments, and their geological ages are probably distributed from the early late Miocene through to the early Pleistocene. More detailed descriptions and observations of the Irrawaddian fossils will contribute to the Neogene biostratigraphy of Myanmar.

Perissodactyla:

Three families and five genera, Equidae (*Hipparion* and *Equus*), Rhinocerotidae (*Brachypotherium*, *Aceratherium*, and *Rhinoceros*), and Chalicotheriidae (cf. *Nestoritherium*) have been discovered in the Irrawaddy sediments.

Hipparion, a three-toed grazing horse, was collected from the Lower Irrawaddy, whereas *Equus* is from the Upper Irrawaddy. *Hipparion* originated in North America in the middle Miocene, and invaded the Old World. The first occurrences of *Hipparion* or its close relatives have been dated at about 11.5 Ma in Europe and 11–12 Ma in northern China (Bahe fauna, Shaanxi Province) (Kurtén, 1952; Li *et al.*, 1984; Qiu, 1990; Bernor *et al.*, 1990; MacFadden, 1992; Lucas, 2001). In south Asia, *Hipparion* fossils have been discovered in the Middle Siwaliks in Pakistan (Welcomme *et al.*, 1999; Barry *et al.*, 2002). Barry *et al.* (2002) estimated the first appearance of *Hipparion* in Siwalik at about 10.9 Ma. This appearance of *Hipparion* in south Asia slightly later than in Europe may indicate a biogeographic isolation of the Indian subcontinent in the middle Miocene (MacFadden, 1992). Nelson

(2003) estimates the beginning of their diet of C4 plants at about 8.7 Ma, using carbon radioisotope analysis of *Hipparion* teeth. This estimate could provide important information for determining the absolute age of the Irrawaddy sediments and an estimate of the C3–C4 plant transition in Myanmar. Unfortunately, however, the *Hipparion* specimens discovered in the Lower Irrawaddy so far comprise just three isolated teeth (Figure 9).

Like *Hipparion*, the monodactyl “real horse” *Equus* also originated in North America, probably in the Pliocene, and then migrated to the Old World via the Bering land bridge in the latest Pliocene (MacFadden, 1992). Many fossils have been reported from the Pleistocene in China and the Siwaliks. The *Equus* fossils discovered in the Upper Irrawaddy are regarded as the same species as *E. yunnanensis* from the Pleistocene deposits of Yunnan Province, southern China (e.g., Colbert, 1940) rather than as either of the two species, *E. sivalensis* or *E. namadicus*, from the Pinjor beds, Upper Siwalik (Falconer and Cautley, 1846; Colbert, 1935b). Based on the identification of *Equus* in the Irrawaddy beds, Colbert (1940) proposed a single *Equus* form that ranged through upper Myanmar and eastward into Yunnan in early Pleistocene times.

Of the Rhinocerotidae, *Brachypotherium* and *Aceratherium* have been discovered in the Lower Irrawaddy, and *Rhinoceros* has been collected from the Upper Irrawaddy. As already mentioned, *Brachypotherium* has also been discovered in the Pegu beds, suggesting a relatively long distribution from the middle to late Miocene in Myanmar. *Aceratherium* is a hornless aceratherine rhinoceros, retaining brachyodont teeth, which was widely distributed in Europe during the late Miocene. Although several previous researchers have reported *Aceratherium* from the Middle Siwalik and from the Upper Irrawaddy, (e.g., Pilgrim, 1910b; Stamp, 1922; Matthew, 1929; Colbert, 1938), it is now difficult to identify the original Irrawaddy specimen. Pilgrim (1910b) reported *Aceratherium* from the Bugti sediments, but there is no citation of *Aceratherium* in recent works (e.g., Welcomme and Ginsburg, 1997; Welcomme *et al.*, 2001). In China, an *Aceratherium* specimen has been reported from the late middle Miocene Shanwang Fauna of Shandong Province (Li *et al.*, 1984).

Rhinoceros discovered in the Irrawaddy belongs to the same genus as the living Asian one-horned rhinoceros, which inhabits the Indian subcontinent and Java (Figure 10). *Rhinoceros* is widely reported from the Pleistocene deposits of southern China (e.g., Yunnan Province), Indo–Pakistan, and Southeast Asia (Hooijer, 1946; Colbert and Hooijer, 1953; He, 1997; Tougard, 2001). In contrast, no fossil of *Dicerorhinus*, the Sumatran rhinoceros, has yet been reported from Myanmar, but has been reported from the upper Miocene of Bahe, Shaanxi Province (Li *et al.*, 1984; Lucas, 2001).

A possible chalicothere fossil was reported from the Lower Irrawaddy in the 19th century (Noetling, 1897a, b; Hooijer, 1951), but Colbert (1938) did not mention chalicotheres in his review of the fossil mammals of Myanmar. Recently, dental fragments referred to *Nestoritherium* were discovered in the Upper Irrawaddy sediments near Gwebin, Magway Division (Tsubamoto *et al.*, 2006). *Nestoritherium* has been reported from the early to middle



Figure 9. Left M¹ of *Hipparion*.




Figure 10. Right M¹ of *Rhinoceros*.

Pleistocene cave deposits of southern China (Colbert and Hooijer, 1953; Zong *et al.*, 1996; Lucas, 2001). It has also been discovered in the Upper Siwalik (Matthew, 1929; Colbert, 1935b), and *Chalicotherium*, a close relative of *Nestoritherium*, is well known from the early Miocene through to the early Pliocene sediments of Eurasia (e.g., McKenna and Bell, 1997; Agustí and Antón, 2002).

Artiodactyla:

Compared with those of other mammals, the fossil records of the artiodactyls of the Irrawaddy sediments are relatively abundant, suggesting their adaptive radiation in the later Neogene. To date, seven families (Suidae, Hippopotamidae, Anthracotheriidae, Tragulidae, Cervidae, Giraffidae, and Bovidae) and probably 18 genera have been reported from the Irrawaddy sediments. However, the identification of these taxa is not reliable, so their classification should be revised constantly to reflect new discoveries.

Two subfamilies of Suidae, Tetraconodontinae and Suinae, have been discovered. Three genera (*Sivachoerus*, *Tetraconodon*, and *Parachleuastochoerus*) of Tetraconodontinae have been found in the Lower Irrawaddy (Figure 11A-B; Thaung-Htike *et al.*, 2005). Three late Miocene species of *Tetraconodon* (*T. minor*, *T. intermedius*, and *T. cf. intermedius*) have been reported from central Myanmar (Pilgrim, 1910b; Thaung-Htike *et al.*, 2005). As already mentioned, *Tetraconodon* has also been discovered in the Freshwater Pegu beds, and from the Middle and Upper Siwaliks (Falconer, 1868; Colbert, 1938; Pickford, 1988; Thaung-Htike *et al.*, 2005). Compared with the Siwalik forms, *Tetraconodon* of Myanmar is more primitive, so Thaung-Htike *et al.* (2005) have presumed that *Tetraconodon* originated in Southeast Asia, such as in Myanmar, rather than in the India–Pakistan region. *Sivachoerus* has also been discovered in the Pliocene of the Middle and Upper Siwaliks (Pilgrim, 1926; Pickford, 1988; van der Made, 1999), but there is no fossil record from China, as is the case for *Tetraconodon*. In contrast, *Parachleuastochoerus* has been discovered in China (van der Made and Han, 1994; Pickford and Liu, 2001), but not in the Siwaliks.

Of the two genera (*Propotamochoerus* and *Potamochoerus*) of Suinae,

Propotamochoerus has been discovered in the Lower Irrawaddy (Figure 11C; Thaung-Htike *et al.*, 2006), whereas *Potamochoerus* has been discovered in the Upper Irrawaddy. *Propotamochoerus* has been collected from the Middle Siwaliks (Pickford, 1988; Barry, 1995; Barry *et al.*, 2002). In China, it has been reported from the upper Miocene Yuanmou Fauna, Yunnan Province, southern China (Pearson, 1928; van der Made and Han, 1994; He, 1997; Liu and Ji, 2004), and from the latest Miocene Ertemte fauna of Inner Mongolia, northern China (Li *et al.*, 1984), suggesting a wide distribution for this animal. *Propotamochoerus* is regarded as having originated in south Asia, expanding its distribution as far west as Europe (Pickford, 1988; Agustí and Antón, 2002).

Potamochoerus has been discovered in the Upper Siwalik (Barry, 1995; Barry *et al.*, 2002) and in the Pleistocene cave deposits of southern China (Han, 1987). The occurrence pattern of Suidae indicates that the Irrawaddy fauna was more similar to the Siwalik fauna than to the Chinese faunas until the Pliocene. The faunal interchange between southern Asia and eastern Asia (China) seems to have begun as early as the Pleistocene.

In south/southeast Asia, many fossil specimens of hippopotami, typical aquatic mammals, have been discovered, although there are no hippopotami in Asia today. All Asian fossil hippopotami are included in the genus *Hexaprotodon* of the family Hippopotamidae. Although the genus *Hexaprotodon* has been used for most of the fossil hippopotami discovered in the Old World for a long time, it is now restricted mostly to the Asian forms and one fossil species from North Africa (Boisserie, 2005). According to a recent cladistic analysis (Boisserie, 2005), the extant pygmy hippopotamus (or Liberian hippopotamus) of western Africa, which was once included in *Hexaprotodon*, belongs to an ancient, primitive lineage and has been reclassified as *Choeropsis*, with earlier generic nomenclature. In Myanmar, hippopotamus fossils have been discovered in the Lower and Upper Irrawaddy beds (Figure 12A; Thaung-Htike *et al.*, 2006). They were originally described as *Hippopotamus* by Falconer and Cautley (1846), but were revised as *Hexaprotodon* by later researchers (Matthew, 1929; Colbert, 1935a; Hooijer, 1950). Although hippopotamids are widely known from the upper Miocene to the upper Pleistocene of south and Southeastern Asia (Hooijer, 1950), there is no fossil record in China.

The family Anthracotheriidae is also considered to contain aquatic mammals and may be the ancestral group of the hippopotamids. *Merycopotamus dissimilis* (Anthracotheriidae) has been discovered in the Lower and Upper Irrawaddy sediments (Figure 12B; Pilgrim, 1910b; Colbert, 1938). It has also been discovered in the Lower and Middle Siwaliks, but there is no fossil record of *Hexaprotodon* in China. This phenomenon indicates that there was no adequate environment for large aquatic mammals such as hippopotamids and anthracotherids in inland China at the time.

Recently, the Myanmar–Japan Joint Expedition Team found a new tragulid artiodactyl, *Dorcabune*, in the Irrawaddy beds near Gwebin village, Magway Division (Tsubamoto *et al.*, 2006). Although it has been suggested that the Irrawaddy sediments of the Gwebin locality




Figure 11. Right mandible with P₃-M₃ of *Sivachoerus* (A), Left mandibular fragment with P₃-P₄ of *Parachleuastochoerus* (B), right mandible with P₃-M₃ of *Propotamochoerus* (C), and an isolated right M₃ of *Sus scrofa* (D).

are equivalent to the Upper Irrawaddy (Moe Nyunt, 1987), Taung-Htike *et al.* (2006) have correlated the Lower Irrawaddy with this locality. *Dorcabune* has been found in the Lower and Middle Siwaliks (Colbert, 1935b; Barry, 1995; Barry *et al.*, 2002), whereas in China, it is reported from the late Miocene of Yunnan (Lufeng and Yuanmou) and from the early Pleistocene of Guangxi (Liuchang) (Han, 1974; He, 1997; Lucas, 2001).

Cervus (Cervidae) is reported from the Upper Irrawaddy (Colbert, 1938). It is also reported from the Middle and Upper Siwaliks in south Asia, and is common after the middle Pleistocene in China.

The fossil specimens of Giraffidae from the Irrawaddy sediments are so fragmentary that their identification is not reliable. Two sivatheriine forms, *Hydaspitherium birmanicum* and *Vishnutherium iravaticum*, have been reported so far (Pilgrim, 1910b; Colbert, 1935b, 1938). Both *Hydaspitherium* and *Vishnutherium* have also been discovered in the Middle Siwaliks (Dhok Pathan Formation), whereas no sivatheriine has been reported from China. Giraffinae, another subfamily of Giraffidae, has been reported from the Siwaliks (Colbert, 1935b; Barry, 1995; Barry *et al.*, 2002) and from northern China (Li *et al.*, 1984; Qiu, 1990; He, 1997), but no fossils have been reported from the Irrawaddy beds.

Of the family Bovidae, three genera of Bovinae (*Pachyportax*, *Hemibos*, and *Proleptobos*) have been found in the Lower Irrawaddy (Figure 13A; Colbert, 1938). All these forms have also been found in the Upper Siwalik (Tatrot Zone) (Pilgrim, 1937), but no fossils have been reported from China. In contrast, four genera of Bovinae (*Hemibos*, *Proleptobos*, *Bos*, and *boselaphinin*), one genus of Antelopinae (*Gazella?*), and one genus of Caprinae (*Capricornis*) have been discovered in the Upper Irrawaddy (Figure 13B; Colbert, 1938). All these genera, except *Capricornis*, have also been found in the Siwaliks. *Bos*, *Gazella*, and *Capricornis* have been reported in China. Although both *Gazella* and *Bos* are commonly found in China, the former appeared in the late Miocene and the latter appeared only after the




Figure 12. Occlusal view of cranial specimen with cheek teeth of *Hexaprotodon* (A) and left M¹⁻² of *Merycopotamus* (B).

Pleistocene (Handbook of Chinese Vertebrate Fossils Editorial Group, 1979; Li *et al.*, 1984; Lucas, 2001). *Capricornis*, the extant serow, is found in the middle Pleistocene and later in China (e.g., Colbert and Hooijer, 1953; Lucas, 2001).

Post-Irrawaddy fossils (Table 1D)

Most of the Post-Irrawaddy fossils have been collected from the middle to late Pleistocene terrace deposits in central Myanmar (Colbert, 1943) and from cave sediments on the Shan Plateau. Some fragmentary teeth of *Elephas hysudricus* have been reported from the terrace deposits of central Myanmar (Colbert, 1943). *Elephas hysudricus* is known from the late Pliocene through to the early Pleistocene of Siwalik, but its extinction date has not been specified exactly (Hussain *et al.*, 1992). A fossil specimen of *Stegodon* that is more derived than *Stegodon insignis birmanicus* may have been collected from the terrace deposits, because some gravels probably derived from the terrace sediments were attached to the specimen.

The most famous Post-Irrawaddian fossil assemblage is the middle Pleistocene assemblage collected at the Mogok cave, northern Mandalay Division. A nearly complete skull of the giant panda, *Ailuropoda* (= *Aeluropus*) *baconi* (Figure 14; Woodward, 1915; Colbert, 1938), many dental fragments of elephants (*Stegodon orientalis*, *Elephas* [=




Figure 13. Left mandibular fragment with P₄-M₃ of *Hemibos* (A) and lower molar of *Capricornis* (B).

Palaeoloxodon] *namadicus*), and an isolated lower premolar of a porcupine (*Hystrix*) (Colbert, 1943) have been reported in published papers. *Stegodon orientalis* is in fact very similar to the *Stegodon* fossils discovered in the cave deposits of southern China. On the other hand, “*Palaeoloxodon namadicus*” does not have the morphological features of the genus *Palaeoloxodon* but resembles *Elephas maximus*, the extant Asian elephant. “*Palaeoloxodon namadicus*” from Myanmar should be a close relative of *Elephas maximus* or an early offshoot of this genus. “*Palaeoloxodon namadicus*” reported from the Pleistocene sediments of Southeast Asia (Mansuy, 1916; Patte, 1928) may also be confused with *Elephas maximus*, the origins of which are still unclear. A paleontological study of elephant fossils of the terrace and cave deposits of Myanmar is very important to any understanding of the origins of the extant Asian elephant, *Elephas*.

Although *Ailuropoda* today inhabits restricted mountain areas in southern China, such as Sichuan and Yunnan Provinces, their fossils are found in the Pleistocene (mostly cave) deposits of vast area of China, Viet Nam, and Thailand (e.g. Ciochon & Olsen, 1986; Pei, 1987; Tougaard *et al.*, 1996; Lucas, 2001). *Ailuropoda* is also known as one of the most typical mammals characterizing the southern Chinese Pleistocene fauna, together with the stegodont proboscidean *Stegodon* (Lucas, 2001). This “*Ailuropoda*–*Stegodon* fauna” is also known in Southeast Asia and Indonesia. The discovery of fossil specimens of *Ailuropoda* and *Stegodon* in the Mogok cave also indicates the faunal similarity of the Pleistocene fauna of Myanmar to those faunas of Southeast Asia, including southern China and the Indonesian islands.

Fossil anthropoid primates expected from the Neogene of Myanmar

To date, no primate fossils have been found in the Neogene sediments of Myanmar. Considering the geographical and chronological situation in Myanmar, several primate taxa are expected in the Neogene of Myanmar, for instance in the Freshwater Pegu Group and Irrawaddy sediments.

In eastern Eurasia, three higher taxonomic groups of catarrhine primates have been discovered in Neogene sediments: Pliopithecoidea, Hominoidea, and Cercopithecoidea (e.g., Pope *et al.*, 2002; Jablonski, 2002; Takai, 2002). Of these, the pliopithecoids

Table 3. List of mammalian taxa of the Post-Irrawaddy faunas.**Post-Irrawaddy Fauna**

RODENTIA

Hystricidae

Hystrix sp.

CARNIVORA

Ailuropodinae

Ailuropoda baconi

ARTIODACTYLA

Suidae

Sus scrofa

Cervidae

Cervus sp.

Bovidae

Bovinae

Bos sp.*Hemibos triquetricornis*

?Antilopinae

PERISSODACTYLA

Equidae

Equus sp.

Rhinocerotidae

Rhinoceros sp.

PROBOSCIDEA

Gomphotheriidae

Sinomastodon sp.

Stegodontidae

Stegodon orientalis

Elephantidae

*Elephas namadicus**Elephas* (= *Hypselephas*) *hysudricus*

probably appeared first in eastern/southern Asia, by the early Miocene. *Dionysopithecus* and *Platodontopithecus* have been found in the early to middle Miocene beds of Sihong, Jiangsu Province (Li, 1978; Li *et al.*, 1983; Gu and Lin, 1983; Harrison and Gu, 1999), and *Pliopithecus zhangxiangi* has been discovered in the middle Miocene of Tongxin, Ningxia Province. In southern China, *Laccopithecus* has been collected from the upper Miocene of Lufeng and Yuanmou, Yunnan Province (Wu and Pan, 1984, 1985; Badgley *et al.*, 1988; Pan, 1988, 1996). One mandibular specimen discovered in the upper Miocene of Wudu, Gansu Province (Xue and Delson, 1989), was originally described as “*Dryopithecus*” *wuduensis*, a member of the hominoids, but is now regarded as a member of the pliopithecids (Harrison, 2005). Some fragmentary pliopithecid fossils have also been discovered in south Asia: “*Pliopithecus krishnaii*” from the Dhok Pathan Formation, Haritalyangan, India (Chopra and Kaul, 1979; Chopra, 1983) and “*Dionysopithecus* sp” from the Kamliyal Formation in Potwar, Pakistan (Bernor *et al.*, 1988; Barry *et al.*, 1986). These south Asian pliopithecids are fragmentary and unreliable specimens, but it is not improbable that pliopithecids will be



Figure 14. Left upper dentition of *Ailuropoda*. Modified from Woodward (1915).

discovered from the middle to late Miocene sediments of Southeast Asia, in places such as Myanmar and Thailand.

The second catarrhine newcomer to eastern Eurasia is the hominoidea. The first hominoid fossil recorded was *Sivapithecus* from the middle Siwaliks (e.g., Pilgrim, 1927; Pilbeam *et al.*, 1977; Kelly and Pilbeam, 1986; Ward and Brown, 1986; Cameron *et al.*, 1999; Nelson, 2003). A recently discovered *Khoratpithecus* from the middle to late Miocene of Thailand is believed to be the ancestral form or a close relative of the extant orangutan, *Pongo* (e.g., Chaimanee *et al.*, 2003, 2004). *Lufengpithecus* has been discovered in several late Miocene localities in Yunnan Province (Keiyuan, Lufeng, Baoshan, and Yuanmou) (e.g., Kelley and Etlar, 1989; Takai *et al.*, 1998). *Gigantopithecus*, an extraordinary huge hominoid, was discovered in the upper Miocene of the Siwaliks (Simons and Chopra, 1969; Chopra, 1983; Patnaik and Cameron, 1997) and in Plio/Pleistocene cave deposits of southern China (e.g., Guangxi, Hubei, and Sichuan Provinces) (e.g., Pilgrim, 1915; Weidenreich, 1945; von Koenigswald, 1952; Pei and Woo, 1956; Pei, 1957; Wu, 1962; Simons and Chopra, 1969; Chang *et al.*, 1973; Hsu *et al.*, 1974; Chang *et al.*, 1975; Ciochon *et al.*, 1996). Thus, it is apparent that hominoids diversified in southern/eastern Asia during the later Miocene, so they are confidently expected to be found in later Miocene sediments in Myanmar.

The third catarrhine group, the cercopithecoids, the Old World monkeys, seem to have invaded eastern Asia in the latest Miocene, much later than the other two groups (Takai, 2002, 2005). Extant Old World monkeys are classified into two subfamilies, Cercopithecinae and Colobinae (or two families, Cercopithecidae and Colobidae). According to the fossil record, colobines probably began their adaptive radiation first on the Eurasian continent, as early as the late Miocene. The first fossil record of colobine monkeys in Asia is of *Mesopithecus*, discovered in the upper Miocene of Afghanistan (Molayan) and Iran (Maragehe) (Heintz *et al.*, 1981; de Mécquinnem, 1925). The second oldest colobine fossil is *Presbytis* (or *Semnopithecus*) *sivalensis*, from the upper Miocene of the Lower Siwalik (Barry, 1987).

The first fossil record of Cercopithecinae, the other cercopithecoid group, is the isolated teeth of *Macaca* from the latest Miocene beds of Yushe, Shangxi Province, northern China (Delson, 1996). In contrast to the colobine fossils from southern Asia, the oldest

Table 2. Comparisons of Neogene mammalian faunas of Myanmar, Siwalik, and China.

	Myanmar				China					Siwalik			
	Pegu?	Irrawaddy		Mogok cave	Yuanmou	Lufeng	Baode	Bahe	Tung-gur	Lower	Middle	Upper	
Lower		Upper	Kamlial							Chinji	Nagri	Dhok Pathan	Tatrot
RODENTIA													
Hystricidae													
				X						X	X	X	
CARNIVORA													
Amphicyonidae													
		X			X	X		X	X	X			
Ailuropodidae													
				X									
PROBOSCIDEA													
Deinotheriidae													
	X								X	X	X	X	
Gomphotheriidae													
	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
		X	X										
Elephantidae													
		X	X							X	X	X	X
			X	X	X						X	X	X
			X									X	X
PERISSODACTYLA													
Equidae													
		X			X	X	X	X			X	X	
			X										X
Chalicotheriidae													
			X										X
Rhinocerotidae													
	X												
	X	X							X	X	X		
		X							X	X	X		
			X		X								X
Aminodontidae													
	X												
ARTIODACTYLA													
Suidae													
Tetraconodontinae													
	X								X	X	X		
	X	X									X	X	X
		X										X	X
		X											
Listriodontinae													
	X							X	X	X	X	X	
Suinae													
	X				X	X			X	X	X		
		X											X
		X			X	X				X	X	X	X
Hippopotamidae													
		X	X										
Anthracotheriidae													
	X								X	X	X		
	X								X	X	X		
	X								X				
		X	X								X		X
Tragulidae													
	X								X	X	X	X	
			X		X	X			X	X	X		
Cervidae													
Cervinae													
			X		X	X					X		X
Giraffidae													
Sivatheriinae													
		X									X		
		X									X		
Bovidae													
Antilopinae													
			X		X	X	X		X	X	X		
Caprinae													
			X										
Bovinae													
		X											
		X	X										X
		X	X								X		
			X										X
			X								X		

cercopithecine fossil was discovered in a relatively northern region, about 37° N, suggesting that the early cercopithecines invaded eastern Eurasia through central Asia, a relatively high-latitude area, rather than through the southern piedmont of the Himalayan range (e.g., Takai, 2005). This “northern route” hypothesis has not yet been examined in detail.

It is highly likely that cercopithecoid fossils, such as those of macaques or colobines, will be discovered from the late Miocene through to the Pliocene sediments of Myanmar.

Acknowledgments

We thank the following people who have helped in our field work in Myanmar and in our laboratory research at the National Museum in Yangon and Yangon University: the personnel from the National Museum and the Department of Archaeology (Ministry of Culture), Prof. Thin Thein (Yangon University), Prof. Aye Ko Aung (Dagon University), Prof. Maung Maung (Magway University), Ms. Megumi Osugi (Japan Embassy in Yangon), and all members of the Myanmar–Japan Joint Expedition Teams. We also thank Prof. Hideo Nakaya (Kagoshima University) for his advice regarding the identification of some mammalian fossils. Financial support was provided by the Overseas Scientific Research Fund (No. 14405019, 14510658, 16405018) and a MEXT Grant-in-Aid for COE Research (No. 10CE2005) for the 21th Century COE Program (A14 to Kyoto University).

References

- Agustí, J. and Antón, M. (2002) Mammoths, sabertooths, and hominoids. Columbia University Press, New York. 313pp.
- Antoine, P.-O., Welcomme, J.-L., Marivaux, L., Baloch, I., Benami, M., and Tassy, P. (2003) First record of Pelogene Elephantoida (Mammalia, Proboscidea) from the Bugti Hills of Pakistan. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, 23:977-980.
- Aung Khin and Kyaw Win (1969) Geology and hydrocarbon prospects of the Burma Tertiary Geosyncline. *Union of Burma Journal of Science and Technology* 2:52-73.
- Badgley, C., Qi, D., Chen, W., and Han, D. (1988) Paleocology of a Miocene, tropical upland fauna: Lufeng, China. *National geographic research: a scientific journal* 4:178-195.
- Barry, J.C., Morgan, M.E., Flynn, L.J., Pilbeam, D., Jacobs, L.J., Lindsay, E.H., Raza, S.M., and Solounias, N. (1995) Patterns of faunal turnover and diversity in the Neogene Siwaliks of northern Pakistan. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 115:209-226.
- Barry, J.C. (1987) The history and chronology of Siwalik. *Human Evolution* 2(N.1):47-58.
- Barry, J.C. (1995) Faunal turnover and diversity in the terrestrial Neogene of Pakistan. p.115-134. In “*Paleoclimate and evolution with emphasis on human origins.*” Vrba, E.S., Denton, G.H., Partridge, T.C., and Burkle, L.H. (eds.) Yale University Press: New Haven and London.
- Barry, J.C., Jacobs, L.L., and Kelly, J. (1986) An early middle Miocene catarrhine from Pakistan with comments on the dispersal of catarrhines into Eurasia. *Journal of Human Evolution* 15:501-508.
- Barry, J.C., Morgan, M.E., Flynn, L.J., Pilbeam, D., Behrensmeyer, A.K., Raza, S.M., Khan, I.A., Badgley, C., Hicks, J., and Kelley, J. (2002) Faunal and environmental change in the late Miocene Siwaliks of Northern Pakistan. *Paleobiology Memoirs* 3, Supplement to 28(2):1-71.
- Bender, F. (1983) *Geology of Burma*. Gebrüder Bortraeger: Berlin. 293 pp.

- Bernor, R.L., Flynn, L.J., Harrison, T., Taseer Hussain S., and Kelley, J. (1988) *Dionysopithecus* from southern Pakistan and the biochronology and biogeography of early Eurasian catarrhines. *Journal of Human Evolution* 17:339-358.
- Bernor, R.L., Qiu, Z., and Hayek, L.-A. (1990) Systematic revision of Chinese *Hipparion* species described by Sefve, 1927. *American Museum Novitates* 2489:1-60.
- Boisserie, J.-R. (2005) The phylogeny and taxonomy of Hippopotamidae (Mammalia: Artiodactyla): a review based on morphology and cladistic analysis. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 143:1-26.
- Cameron, D., Patnaik, R., and Sahni, A. (1999) Sivapithecus dental specimens from Dhara locality, Kalgarh District, Uttar Pradesh, Siwaliks, Northern India. *Journal of Human Evolution* 37:861-868.
- Cerling, T.E., Harris, J.M., MacFadden, B.J., Leakey, M.G., Quade, J., Eisenmann, V., and Ehleringer, J.R. (1997) Global vegetation change through the Miocene/Pliocene boundary. *Nature* 389:153-158.
- Chaimanee, Y., Jolly, D., Benammi, M., Tafforeau, P., Duzer, D., Moussa, I., and Jaeger, J.-J. (2003) A Middle Miocene hominoid from Thailand and orangutan origins. *Nature* 422:61-65.
- Chaimanee, Y., Suteethorn, V., Jintasakul, P., Vidthayanon, C., Marandat, B., and Jaeger, J.-J. (2004) A new orang-utan relative from the Late Miocene of Thailand. *Nature* 427:439-441.
- Chang, Y., Wang, L., and Dong, X. (1975) Discovery of a *Gigantopithecus* tooth from Bama District in Kwangsi. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 13:148-153.
- Chang, Y., Wu, M., and Liu, C. (1973) New discovery of *Gigantopithecus* teeth from Wuming, Kwangsi. *Kexue Tongbao* 18:130-133.
- Chen, G. (1999) *Sinomastodon* Tobien *et al.*, 1986 (Proboscidea, Mammalia) from the Pliocene and Early-Middle Pleistocene of China. *Proceedings of the Seventh Annual Meeting of the Chinese Society of Vertebrate Paleontology* p.179-187.
- Chhibber, H.L. (1934) *The geology of Burma*. Macmillan and Co. Ltd: London. 538pp.
- Chopra, S.R.K. and Kaul, S. (1979) A new species of *Pliopithecus* from the Indian Sivaliks. *Journal of Human Evolution* 8:475-477.
- Chopra, S.R.K. (1983) Significance of recent hominoid discoveries from the Siwalik Hills of India. p.539-557. In "New interpretations of ape and human ancestry." Ciochon, R.L. and Corruccini R.S. (eds) Plenum Press: New York.
- Ciochon, R., Vu The Long, Larick, R., Gonzalez, L., Grün, R., de Vos, J., Yonge, C., Taylor, L., Yoshida, H., and Reagan, M. (1996) Dated co-occurrence of *Homo erectus* and *Gigantopithecus* from Tham Khuyen Cave, Vietnam. *Proceedings of the National Academy of the Sciences of the United States of America* 93:3016-3020.
- Clift, W. (1828) On the fossil remains of two new species of *Mastodon*, and other vertebrated animals, found on the left Bank of the Irawadi. *Transactions of the Geological Society of London* 2:369-375, pls.XXXVI-XLIV.
- Colbert, E.H. (1935a) Distributional and phylogenetic studies on Indian fossil mammals. IV. The phylogeny of the Indian Suidae and the origin of the Hippopotamidae. *American Museum Novitates* 799:1-24.
- Colbert, E.H. (1935b) Siwalik mammals in the American Museum of Natural History. *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society, New York* 26:1-401.
- Colbert, E.H. (1938) Fossil mammals from Burma in the American Museum of Natural History. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*. 74:255-436.
- Colbert, E.H. (1940) Pleistocene mammals from the Ma Kai valley of Northern Yunnan, China. *American Museum Novitates* 1099:1-10.

- Colbert, E.H. (1943) Pleistocene vertebrates collected in Burma by the American Southeast Asiatic Expedition. *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society New Series*, 32:1-429, pls.19-32.
- Colbert, E.H. and Hooijer, D.A. (1953) Pleistocene mammals from the limestone fissures of Szechwan, China. *Bulletin of American Museum of Natural History* 102:1-134, pls.1-40.
- Cotter, G.P. de (1938) The geology of parts of the Minbu, Myingyan, Pakokku, and lower Chindwin Districts, Burma. *Memoirs of the Geological Survey of India* 72:1-136, pls.1-11.
- Dehm, R. (1963) Paläontologische und geologische Untersuchungen im Tertiär von Pakistan. 3. Dinosaurium in der Chinji-Stufe der Unter Siwalik-Schichten. *Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse New Series* 114:1-34, pls.2.
- Delson, E. (1996) The oldest monkeys in Asia. p.40. In "Abstract of International Symposium: Evolution of Asian Primates." Tekenaka, O. (ed.) Primate Research Institute, Kyoto University: Inuyama.
- Ducrocq, S., Chaimanee, Y., Suteethorn, V., and Jaeger, J.-J. (1997) A new species of *Conohyus* (Suidae, Mammalia) from the Miocene of northern Thailand. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Monatshefte, Stuttgart* 6:348-360.
- Falconer, H. and Cautley, P.T. (1846) Fauna antiqua sivalensis, being the fossil zoology of the Siwalik Hills, in the North of India. Smith, Elder & Co: London. 64pp., pls.13-56.
- Falconer, H. (1868) Palaeontological memoirs I. *Fauna Antiqua Sivalensis* 1:1-556.
- Flynn, L., Tedford, R.H., and Qiu, Z. (1991) Enrichment and stability in the Pliocene mammalian fauna of North China. *Paleobiology* 17:246-265.
- Flynn, L., Wu, W., and Downs III, W.R. (1997) Dating vertebrate microfaunas in the late Neogene record of Northern China. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 133:227-242.
- Gu, Y. and Lin, Y. (1983) First discovery of *Dryopithecus* in East China. *Acta Anthropologica Sinica* 2:305-314.
- Guan, J. (1996) On the shovel-tusked elephantoids from China. p.124-135. In "The Proboscidea: evolution and palaeoecology of elephants and their relatives." Shoshani, J. and Tassy, P. (eds.) Oxford University Press: Oxford.
- Han, D. (1974) First discovery of *Dorcabune* in China. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 12:217-220, pl.1.
- Han, D. (1987) Artiodactyla fossils from Liucheng *Gigantopithecus* Cave in Guangxi. *Memoirs of Institute of Vertebrate Palaeontology and Palaeoanthropology* 18:135-208, pls.1-12.
- Handbook of Chinese Vertebrate Fossils Editorial Group (1979) *Handbook of Chinese vertebrate fossils (revised edition)*. Science Press: Beijing. 665pp., 188pls.
- Harris, J.M. (1973) *Prodeinotherium* from Gebel Zelten, Libya. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Geology* 23:258-348.
- Harris, J.M. (1976) Cranial and dental remains of *Deinotherium bozasi* (Mammalia, Proboscidea) from East Rudolf, Kenya. *Journal of Zoology, London* 178:57-75.
- Harrison, T. (2005) The zoogeographic and phylogenetic relationships of early catarrhine primates in Asia. *Anthropological Science* 113:43-51.
- Harrison, T. and Gu, Y. (1999) Taxonomy and phylogenetic relationships of early Miocene catarrhines from Sihong, China. *Journal of Human Evolution* 37:225-277.
- He, Z. (ed.) (1997) *Yuanmou hominoid fauna*. Yunnan Science and Technology Press: Kunming, China. 270pp.
- Heintz, E., Brunet, M., and Battail, B. (1981) A cercopithecoid primate from the Late Miocene of Malayan, Afghanistan, with remarks on *Mesopithecus*. *International Journal of Primatology* 2:273-284.
- Hooijer, D.A. (1950) The fossil Hippopotamidae of Asia, with notes on the recent species. *Zoologische Verhandelingen* 8:1-124, pls. 1-22.

- Hooijer, D.A. (1951) A femur of a (?) chalicothere from the Pliocene of Upper Burma. *Journal of Mammalogy* 32:467-468.
- Hooijer, D.A. (1946) Prehistoric and fossil rhinoceros from the Malay Archipelago and India. *Zoologische Mededeelingen, Leiden* 26:1-138.
- Hopwood, A.T. (1935) Fossil Proboscidea from China. *Palaeontologia Sinica* (C) 9:1-108, pls.1-8.
- Hsu, C., Han, K., and Wang, L. (1974) Discovery of *Gigantopithecus* teeth and associated fauna in Western Hupei. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 12:293-309.
- Hussain, S.T., Bergh, G.D. van den, Steensma, K.J., Visser, J.A. de, Vos, J. de, Arif, M., Jan van Dam, Sondaar, P.Y., and Malik, S.B. (1992) Biostratigraphy of the Plio-Pleistocene continental sediments (Upper Siwaliks) of the Mangla-Samwal Anticline, Azad Kashmir, Pakistan. *Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen* 95:65-80
- Huttunen, K. (2002) Systematics and taxonomy of the European Deinotheriidae (Proboscidea, Mammalia). *Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien*, 103A:237-250.
- Huttunen, K. and Göhlich, U. (2002) A partial skeleton of *Prodeinotherium bavaricum* (Proboscidea, Mammalia) from the Middle Miocene of Unterzolling (Upper Freshwater Molasse, Germany). *Geobios* 35:489-514.
- Jablonski, N.G. (2002) Fossil Old World monkeys: the late Neogene radiation. p.255-299. In “*The primate fossil record.*” Hartwig, W.C. (ed.) Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Kelley, J. and Etlar, D. (1989) Hominoid dental variability and species number at the late Miocene site of Lufeng, China. *American Journal of Primatology* 18:15-34.
- Kelly, J. and Pilbeam, D. (1986) The dryopithecines: taxonomy, comparative anatomy, and phylogeny of Miocene large hominoids. p.361-411. In “*Comparative primate biology volume 1.*” Swindler, D.R. and Erwin, J. (eds.) Alan R. Liss: New York.
- Kurtén, B. (1952) The chinese hipparion fauna. *Commentationes Biologicae* 13:1-182.
- Kyi Khin and Myitta (1999) Marine transgression and regression in Miocene sequences of northern Pegu (Bago) Yoma, Central Myanmar. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* 17:369-393.
- Koenigswald, G.H.R. von (1952) *Gigantopithecus blacki* von Koenigswald, a giant fossil hominoid from the Pleistocene of Southern China. *Anthropological Papers American Museum of Natural History* 43(4):1-325.
- Li, C. (1978) A Miocene gibbon-like primate from Shihhung, Kiangsu Province. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 16:187-192.
- Li, C., Lin, Y., Gu, Y., Hou, L., Wu, W., and Qiu, Z. (1983) The Aragonian vertebrate fauna of Xiacaowan, Jiangsu. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 21:313-327.
- Li, C., Wu, W., and Qiu, Z. (1984) Chinese Neogene: subdivision and correlation. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 22:163-178.
- Liu, J. and Ji, X. (2004) Discovery of *Propotamochoerus hysudricus* from Tanguanyao area in Yongre, Yunnan Province, China. p.49-54. In “*Proceedings of the 9th Annual Symposium of the Chinese Society of Vertebrate Paleontology.*” Dong Wei (ed.) Ocean Press: Beijing, China. [in Chinese.]
- Lucas, S.G. (2001) *Chinese fossil vertebrates*. Columbia University Press: New York. 375pp.
- Ma, X.-P., Li, G., Gao, F., Sun, Y.-L., and Zheng, L. (2004) New early Pleistocene mammalian materials from Zhongdian, Yunnan Province, China. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 42:246-258.
- MacFadden, B.J. (1992) *Fossil horses*. Cambridge University Press: New York. 369pp.
- Made, J. van der (1996) Listriodontinae (Suidae, Mammalia), their evolution, systematic and distribution in time and space. *Contributions to Tertiary and Quaternary Geology* 33:3-254.
- Made, J. van der (1999) Biometrical trends in the Tetraconodontinae, a subfamily of pigs. *Transactions of*

- the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences* 89:199-225.
- Made, J. van der and Han, D. (1994) Suoidea from the Upper Miocene hominoid locality of Lufeng, Yunnan Province, China. *Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen* 97:27-82.
- Mansuy, H. (1916) Sur quelques mammifères fossiles récemment découverts en Indochine. *Mémoires du Service Géologique de l'Indochine* 5:1-26, pls.1-7.
- Matthew, W.D. (1929) Critical observations upon Siwalik mammals. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 56:437-560.
- McKenna, M.C. and Bell, S.K. (1997) *Classification of mammals above the species level*. Columbia University Press: New York. 631pp.
- Mecquennem, R. de (1925) Contributions a l'étude des fossiles de Maragha (suite). *Annales de paléontologie* 14:135-160.
- Moe Nyunt (1987) *Geology and vertebrate fossils of Gwebin area, Seikpyu Township*. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Geology, University of Yangon (= University of Rangoon): Yangon, Myanmar. 253pp, 1-6 pls.
- Myint Thein (1966) *Stratigraphy and structure of the Taungtalon area, Kyaukse Township*. unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Geology, University of Mandalay, Mandalay, Myanmar. 245pp.
- Nelson, S.V. (2003) The extinction of *Sivapithecus*. *American Schools of Prehistoric Research Monograph Series* 1:1-138.
- Noetling, F. (1897a) Note on a worn femur of *Hipopotamus iravadicus*, Caut. and Falc., from the Lower Pliocene of Burma. *Records of the Geological Survey of India* 30:242-249, pls.19-20.
- Noetling, F. (1897b) On the discovery of chipped flint-flakes in the Pliocene of Burma. *Natural Science* 10:223-241, figs.1-3.
- Osborn, H.F. (1929) New Eurasian and American Proboscideans. *American Museum Novitates* 393:1-22, 22figs.
- Osborn, H.F. (1942) *Proboscidea: a monograph of the discovery, evolution, migration and extinction of the mastodonts and elephants of the world. Vol.II : Stegodontoidea, Elephantoidea*. The American Museum Press: New York. XVIII + 805-1675pp., pls. 13-30.
- Pan, Y. (1988) Small fossil primates from Lufeng, a latest Miocene site in Yunnan Province, China. *Journal of Human Evolution* 17:359-366.
- Pan, Y. (1996) A small-sized ape from the Xiaohe area hominoid sites, Yuanmou, Yunnan. *Acta Anthropologica Sinica* 15:93-104.
- Patnaik, R. and Cameron, D. (1997) New Miocene fossil ape locality, Danger, Hari-Talyangar region, Siwaliks, Northern India. *Journal of Human Evolution* 32:93-97.
- Patte, E (1928) Comparison des faunes de mammifères de Lang Son (Tonkin) et du Se Tchouen. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France* (4) 28(1-2): 55-63.
- Pearson, H.S. (1928) Chinese fossil Suidae. *Palaeontologia Sinica* (Ser. C). 5(5):1-75.
- Pei, W. (1957) Discovery of *Gigantopithecus* mandibles and other material in Liu-cheng district of central Kwangsi in South China. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 1:65-71.
- Pei, W. (1987) Carnivora, Proboscidea and Rodentia from Liucheng *Gigantopithecus* Cave and other caves in Guangxi. *Memoirs of Institute of Vertebrate Palaeontology and Palaeoanthropology, Academica Sinica* 18:5-118, pls.1-15.
- Pei, W. and Woo, J. (1956) New materials of *Gigantopithecus* teeth from South China. *Acta Palaeontologia Sinica* 4:477-490.
- Pickford, M. (2001) *Afrochoerodon* nov. gen. *kisumuensis* (MacInnes) (Proboscidea, Mammalia) from

- Cheparawa, Middle Miocene, Kenya. *Annales de Paléontologie* 87:99-117.
- Pickford, M. (1987) Révision des suiformes (Artiodactyla, Mammalia) de Bugti (Pakistan). *Annales de Paléontologie (Vert.-Invert.)* 73:289-350.
- Pickford, M. (1988) Revision of the Miocene Suidae of the Indian Subcontinent. *Münchener Geowissenschaftliche Abhandlungen, Reihe A, Geologie und Paläontologie* 12:1-92.
- Pickford, M. and Gupta, S.S. (2001) New specimen of *Conohyus indicus* (Lydekker, 1884) (Mammalia: Suidae) from the base of the Late Miocene, Jammu India. *Annales de Paléontologie* 87:271-281.
- Pickford, M. and Liu, L. (2001) Revision of the Miocene Suidae of Xiaolongtan (Kaiyuan), China. *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana* 40:275-283.
- Pilbeam, D., Meyer, E., Badgley, C., Pickford, M.H.L., Behrensmeyer, A.K., and Ibrahim-Shah, S.M. (1977) New hominoid primates from the Siwaliks of Pakistan and their bearing on hominoid evolution. *Nature* 270:689-695.
- Pilgrim, G.E. (1910a) Notices of new mammalian genera and species from the Tertiaries of India. *Records of the Geological Survey of India* 40:63-71.
- Pilgrim, G.E. (1910b) Preliminary note on a revised classification of the Tertiary freshwater deposits of India. *Records of the Geological Survey of India* 40:185-205.
- Pilgrim, G.E. (1915) New Siwalik primates and their bearing on the question of the evolution of man and the Anthropoidea. *Records of the Geological Survey of India* 45:1-74.
- Pilgrim, G.E. (1926) The fossil Suidae of India. *Memoirs of the Geological Survey of India, Palaeontologia Indica, New Series* 8(4):1-105.
- Pilgrim, G.E. (1927) The lower canine of *Tetraconodon*. *Records of the Geological Survey of India* 60:160-163.
- Pilgrim, G.E. (1937) Siwalik antelopes and oxen in the American Museum of Natural History. *Bulletin of American Museum of Natural History* 72:729-874.
- Pope, G.G., Brooks, A.S., and Delson, E. (2000) Asia, Eastern and Southern. p.84-91. In “*Encyclopedia of human evolution and prehistory*” Delson, E., Tattersall, I., Van Couvering, J.A., and Brooks, A.S. (eds.) Garland Publ Inc: New York.
- Prothero, D.R., Guérin, C., and Manning, E. (1989) The history of the Rhinoceroidea. p.321-340. In “*The evolution of perissodactyls.*” Prothero, D.R. and Schoch, R.M. (eds.) Oxford Univ. Press: New York.
- Qiu, Z. (1990) The Chinese Neogene mammalian biochronology -Its correlation with the European Neogene. p.527-556. In “*European Neogene mammal chronology.*” Lindsay, E.H., Fahlbusch, V., and Mein, P. (eds.) Plenum Press: New York.
- Quade, J. and Cerling, T.E. (1995) Expansion of C4 grasses in the Late Miocene of Northern Pakistan: evidence from stable isotopes in paleosols. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 115:91-116.
- Quade, J., Cerling, T.E., and Bowman, J.R. (1989) Development of Asian monsoon revealed by marked ecological shift during the latest Miocene in northern Pakistan. *Nature* 342:163-166.
- Saegusa, H., Thasod, Y., and Ratanasthien, B. (2005) Notes on Asian stegodontids. *Quaternary International* 126-128:31-48.
- Sanders, W.J. and Miller, E.R. (2002) New proboscideans from the Early Miocene of Wadi Moghara, Egypt. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 22:388-404.
- Schlesinger, G. (1917) Die *Mastodonten* des K. K. naturhistorischen Hofmuseums. Denkschriften der kaiserl. K. K. Naturhistorischen Hofmuseums, 1, *Geologisch-Paläontologische Reihe* 1:1-230, pls.36.
- Simons, E.L. and Chopra, S.R.K. (1969) *Gigantopithecus* (Pongidae, Hominoidea). A new species from North India. *Postilla* 138:1-18.

- Stamp, L.D. (1922) An outline of the Tertiary Geology of Burma. *Geological Magazine* 59:481-501.
- Takai, M. (2002) Evolutionary process of the Tertiary primates in East Asia. *Primate Research* 18:69-90. [in Japanese with English abstract.]
- Takai, M. (2005) Macaques and baboons: evolutionary history of the cercopithecine monkeys in Eurasia. *Primate Research* 21:121-138. [in Japanese with English abstract.]
- Takai, M., Lu, Q., Kunimatsu, Y., and Shigehara, N. (1998) Problems in hominoid fossils from Yunnan Province, China: evolution of hominoids in Eastern Asia. *Primate Research* 14:7-24. [in Japanese with English abstract.]
- Tassy, P. (1983) Les Elephantoidea Miocène du Plateau du Potwar, Groupe de Siwalik, Pakistan. III. Partie: Stégodontidés, Elephantoides Indéterminés. Restes Postcraniens Conclusions. *Annales de Paléontologie (Vertébrés-Invertébrés)* 69: 317-534.
- Tassy, P. (1989) The “Proboscidean Datum Event”: How many proboscideans and how many events?. p.237-252. In “*European Neogene mammal chronology.*” Lindsay, E.H., Fahlbusch, V., and Mein, P. (eds.) NATO ASI Series, Series A: Life Sciences, vol. 180. Plenum Press: New York.
- Teilhard, de Chardin, P., and Trassaert, M. (1937) The Proboscidiens of South-Eastern Shansi. *Palaeontologia Sinica* C 13:1-58, pls.1-13.
- Thaung-Htike, Tsubamoto, T., Takai, M., Natori, M., Egi, N., Maung-Maung, and Chit-Sein (2005) A revision of *Tetraconodon* (Mammalia, Artiodactyla, Suidae) from the Miocene of Myanmar and description of a new species. *Paleontological Research* 9:243-253.
- Thaung-Htike, Takai, M., Zin-Maung-Maung-Thein, Egi, N., Tsubamoto, T., Chit-Sein, and Maung-Maung (2006a) A revision of fossil hippopotamus from the Plio-Pleistocene of Myanmar. *Abstracts with Programs of the 2006 Annual Meeting of the Paleontological Society of Japan* p.16.
- Tobien, H., Chen, G., and Li, Y. (1986) Mastodonts (Proboscidea, Mammalia) from the Late Neogene and Early Pleistocene of the People’s Republic of China. Part 1. Historical Account; the Genera *Gomphotherium*, *Choerolophodon*, *Synconolophus*, *Amebelodon*, *Platybelodon*, *Sinomastodon*. *Mainzer geowissenschaftliche Mitteilungen* 15:119-181, figs.56.
- Tougaard, C. (2001) Biogeography and migration routes of large mammal faunas in South-East Asia During the late middle Pleistocene: focus on the fossil and extant faunas from Thailand. *Paleogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 168:337-358.
- Wall, W.P. (1989) The phylogenetic history and adaptive radiation of the Amarynodontidae. p.341-354. In “*The evolution of perissodactyls.*” Prothero, D.R. and Schoch, R. M. (eds.) Oxford Univ. Press: New York.
- Ward, S.C. and Brown, B. (1986) The Facial Skeleton of *Sivapithecus indicus*. p.413-452. In “*Comparative primate biology, volume 1: systematics, evolution, and anatomy.*” Swindler, D.R. and Erwin, J. (eds.) Alan R. Liss, Inc.: New York.
- Weidenreich, F. (1945) Giant early man from Java and South China. *Anthropological Papers of the American Museum of Natural History* 40:1-134.
- Welcomme, J.-L. and Ginsburg, L. (1997) The evidence of an Oligocene presence in the Bugti area (Balouchistan, Pakistan). *Comptes rendus de l’Académie des sciences de Paris, Earth & Planetary Sciences* 325:999-1004.
- Welcomme, J.-L., Benammi, M., Crochet, J.-Y., Marivaux, L., Métais, G., Antoine, P.-O., and Baloch, I. (2001) Himalayan Forelands: palaeontological evidence for Oligocene detrital deposits in the Bugti Hills (Balochistan, Pakistan). *Geological Magazine* 138:397-405.
- Welcomme, J.-L., Marivaux, L., Antoine, P.-O., and Benammi, M. (1999) Fossil mammals from the Bugti Hills (Balouchistan, Pakistan). New Data. *Bulletin de la Société d’histoire naturelle de Toulouse*

135:135-139.

- Woodward, A.S. (1915) On the skull of an extinct mammal related to *Aeluropus* from a cave in the ruby mines at Mogok, Burma. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1915:425-429.
- Wu, R. and Pan, Y. (1984) A Late Miocene gibbon-like primate from Lufeng, Yunnan Province. *Acta Anthropologica Sinica* 3:185-194.
- Wu, R. and Pan, Y. (1985) Preliminary observation on the cranium of *Laccopithecus robustus* from Lufeng, Yunnan with reference to its phylogenetic relationship. *Acta Anthropologica Sinica* 4:7-12.
- Wu, R. (1962) The mandible and dentition of *Gigantopithecus*. *Palaeontologia Sinica*. New Series D(11):1-94.
- Xue, X. and Delson, E. (1989) A new species of *Dryopithecus* from Gansu, China. *Chinese Science Bulletin* 34:223-229.
- Zong, G., Tang, Y., Lei, Y., and Li, S. (1989) *Hanjiang Zhongguoruchixiang*. 84pp., 12pls. Academic Press: Beijing.
- Zong, G. (1987) Mammalian fossils from the Yanyuan Basin, Sichuan Province, and their significance. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 25:137-145, pl.-2.
- Zong, G., Chen, W., Huang, X., and Xu, Q. (1996) Cenozoic mammals and environment of Hengduan mountains region. Ocean Press: Beijing. 279pp. [in Chinese with English abstract.]