



INTERNATIONAL ZOO NEWS

A magazine providing an intelligence service of news from and about zoos of the world.

Published by ZOO-CENTRUM, London, England.
Proprietor: JOHN ASPINALL
Editor: PETER BUNYARD, WORTHYVALE MANOR FARM, CAMELFORD, CORNWALL, UK.
Advisory Editor: GEOFFREY SCHOMBERG.

Annual Subscriptions

Surface mail: £15.00, US\$40.00, DM62.50
Airmail: £19.00, US\$50.00

Subscriptions should be prepaid and will continue automatically from year to year until cancelled by the subscriber. Please make cheques payable to Zoo-Centrum, and send to:

IZN Subscription Dept., Worthyvale Manor Farm,
Camelford, Cornwall, PL32 9TT, England. (Tel: 0840 212711)
Zoo-Centrum's bankers: National Westminster Bank Ltd., 26 Molesworth Street, Wadebridge, Cornwall, England.

Advertisements

Details may be found elsewhere in this issue. The editor is not responsible for the accuracy of any advertisements in this magazine. For further details write to: Maria Parsons, Worthyvale Manor Farm, Camelford, Cornwall, UK. (Tel: 0840 212711).

Contributions

The editor welcomes contributions, which should be typed, double spaced, on one side of the paper only, and with generous margins. Contributions should be accompanied by black and white photographs, line drawings, and tables where appropriate. For feature articles, the editor should be queried in advance in case a similar article has already been accepted.

IZN's International Serial Number is NE ISSN 0020-9155.
International Zoo News is copyright throughout the world and no article may be reproduced in whole or in part without the written permission of the editor. ©1980 Zoo-Centrum.

Printed by Penwell Ltd., Parkwood, Callington, Cornwall, England.

CONTENTS

International Zoo News No. 202, Vol 34/3

May/June 1987

FEATURE ARTICLES

- | | |
|---|----|
| Badger Conservation in Perspective
<i>M. Hancox</i> | 3 |
| The Clouded Leopard in Malaysian Borneo
<i>Alan Rabinowitz, Patrick Andau and Paul P.K. Chai</i> | 6 |
| Three Months in the Sun
<i>John Partridge</i> | 13 |
| The Conservation of the Javan Rhinoceros
<i>Francesco Nardelli</i> | 18 |
| <hr/> | |
| Letter to the Editor | 23 |
| Surplus and Wanted Stock | 24 |
| Annual Reports | 26 |
| Conservation | 37 |
| Miscellany | 40 |
| International Zoo News | 44 |

THE CONSERVATION OF THE JAVAN RHINOCEROS*

(*Rhinoceros sondaicus*)

A PROPOSAL

BY FRANCESCO NARDELLI

I would like to preface this paper with mention of my deep respect for the work of Professor Dr Rudolf Schenkel of Switzerland, and of his wife Dr. L Schenkel, on the Javan rhinoceros, as well as the Sumatran rhinoceros, dating back at least to 1967.

Without Prof. Schenkel's work on these species, also largely thanks to WWF funding, we would hardly be aware of the problems I intend to discuss here.

I must also acknowledge a debt to Mr. Hartmann Amman of Basel University, Switzerland for his recently published and invaluable doctoral thesis on the Javan rhino.

As both these experts' studies have clearly revealed, the situation for the Javan rhino today is even more serious than it is for the Sumatran rhino: there is only one remaining viable population, of 40-60 individuals, concentrated in the 30,000 hectare (about 300-400 square kilometres) Ujung Kulon National Park in Java, Indonesia.

The Javan rhino therefore has the dubious claim to fame of being probably the rarest mammal on earth. And, as you know, as yet there is not a single individual in any zoo in the world today.

A single population concentrated in a single location like this is of course extremely vulnerable: to natural disasters, drought or flood, poaching, demographic instability, inbreeding etc.

There is also some tentative evidence that the Ujung Kulon area may have reached its maximum carrying capacity for the Javan rhino, with the population levelling out in 1975. The numbers of rhino had actually doubled over the previous 17 years since Professor Schenkel's successful joint effort with the Indonesian authorities to improve management and quash the poaching rampant until the late 1960s.

*Reprinted from *Help Newsletter* No.8, 1986.




Photo: Alain Compost

Javan rhino in Ujung Kulon

Studies so far have further pointed to evidence that there may have been a relatively recent vegetation change in the area disadvantageous to the rhino in terms of its foodplant preferences. Possibly linked with this is potential competition for resources with burgeoning banteng population within the same area.

The peculiar economic and demographic dilemma in which Indonesia finds itself unfortunately makes the continued survival of the Javan rhino in the long term a matter for debate. Should local population pressures lead to any human intrusion into rhino habitat in the future, the animals are bound to suffer. The Javan rhino's tremendous sensitivity to sustained human intrusions into its own natural habitat has also been underlined in recent studies; such intrusion can disturb vital courtship and mating patterns, for instance.

But nothing has highlighted the potential threat to this last population more dramatically than the death in 1982 of five rhinos. Investigations revealed only that they died suddenly from a still mysterious epidemic and infectious disease apparently resembling anthrax and possibly connected with the intrusion of Man's domestic animals into wild rhino habitat.

Professor Schenkel has made some excellent recommendations on future management aimed at avoiding a recurrence of this tragedy. In summary, these are:

- Careful monitoring and censusing of the rhino both during the dry and during the wet seasons;
- Drafting of a detailed vegetation map of the area paying special attention to the rhino's foodplants;

- Deliberate vegetation management so as to encourage growth of the rhino's preferred foodplant environment—open unshaded areas with saplings and bushes etc. This would mean the cutting back of certain palms;
- Control of the banteng population, only if further studies prove the animal is in competition with the rhino;
- Translocation of about 10 rhino to a second location, perhaps in southern Sumatra to start a second viable population. This only to be embarked upon when the Ujung Kulon population has recovered from the effects of the 1982 disease and begun to reproduce again.

The new site to be selected with maximum care, bearing in mind foodplant availability, fresh water, clay-soil wallows, salt licks, existence of predators and other species now unfamiliar to the rhino, such as tigers and elephants, the ease with which the new site can be patrolled and protected, the attitude of the people living in or near the area.

Another major factor to be tackled, in Professor Schenkel's view, was working conditions for the Indonesian rangers and guards assigned to protect the rhino. He felt they needed field allowances to enhance their salaries, better clothing and equipment, better medical care and better training, for example on how to collect blood and tissue samples during any emergency like the 1982 epidemic.

I heartily concur with Professor Schenkel's diagnosis and prescription in all except one important respect: he emphasised translocation before any attempt at captive breeding and indeed was generally opposed to captive breeding, partly because of the fragility of the species and partly because he felt the primary need was simultaneously to conserve the rhino and its natural habitat. I suggest that the situation is too critical to wait, that capture and captive breeding should commence as soon as feasible, applying the lessons already learned in the current Sumatran Rhinoceros Capture Operation.

This does not mean that Professor Schenkel's proposals should not be implemented at Ujung Kulon—indeed they should. But the capture operation should be accorded urgent priority. In my opinion, captive breeding is far safer than natural gene-pool arrangements from the point of view of monitoring disease, poaching and territorial competition, amongst other likely problems. Captive breeding also allows closer observation so that valuable data on the animals' habits can be gathered for application of better management of populations still in the wild.

Translocation is too risky and difficult a venture, as well as costly—funding might prove a problem. However, it could be

integrated into a conservation project as a second stage, to follow only after a captive nucleus has been safely established and funds made available to local agencies in Indonesia.

As with the Sumatran rhino operation, transfer of both technology and funds to the host country, Indonesia, would be an integral part of the conservation plan.

I propose, therefore, that an operation to capture some Javan rhino for captive breeding be instituted as soon as possible. I suggest that the capture operation be concentrated along the eastern fringes of the Ujung Kulon National Park, where poachers and disease are a more likely threat to the animals, thus leaving the core area's population as undisturbed as possible.

Experience with the Sumatran rhino operation so far should have given us the confidence and courage to proceed with this venture, which I now consider to be of the highest importance to the survival of the species.

References

Situation of the Javan Rhino in Ujung Kulon National Park: assessment in March 1982 after the sudden death of five rhinos—prepared by Prof. Dr. R. Schenkel and Dr. L. Schenkel for WWF/IUCN Gland (Switzerland), April 2 1982;
Contributions to the Ecology and Sociology of the Javan Rhinoceros (*Rhinoceros sondaicus* Desm.): inaugural thesis for a PhD. degree in the Faculty of Philosophy and Natural Sciences, University of Basle, Switzerland—by Hartman Amman, Basle 1985.

Plan for Capture Operation

- In order to determine more accurately the sex ratio, age and number of the rhino population, we should survey the whole area and in particular the eastern fringes. Surveys based on the dimensions and shape of tracks, especially with plaster casts, are reliable, but time consuming. Furthermore, we already have an accurate report by Dr. Hartmann Amman. In this case, I recommend the use of remote-controlled cameras. 20-30 of 35mm still cameras could be purchased cheaply or even be supplied free (in exchange for publicity) by a big company. These cameras, with a flash incorporated, should be placed on rhino trails, in several places in Ujung Kulon. Professional photographer, Indonesia based, Alain Compost, has already confirmed he's available to set up the cameras and indeed he has already got pictures of leopard, tiger, etc. using this method. A couple of rangers can check the cameras every few days. The whole operation will require only a few months and will not disturb the rhinos.

- The base camp should be set up along the eastern fringes of the park, as well as the holding pens for the captured rhinos.
- The use of pit traps instead of stockade ones is highly recommended as negative experience has indicated during the capture operations in 1960 and in 1986 (Torgamba). Professional animal collector Tony Parkinson is the best person to carry on with the capture professionally and he will give the best advice on this matter.
- The use of local trees for the construction of the holding pens and traps should be avoided for obvious reasons, but carrying the necessary poles from outside the park might not be feasible or very expensive.
- As with the Sumatran rhino a long period of acclimatization (at least two months) should follow after the capture of the rhino, before transporting them to the zoos.

Francesco Nardelli is Overseas Project Manager for Howletts and Port Lympne Zoos, UK.



LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Zoos Boycott Surveys.

Dear Sir,

Back in the February 1986 issue of *IZN*, Russell Toft, a keeper at the London Zoo, commented that "in the present atmosphere of 'animal rights', and particularly with the recent launch of Zoo Check (an anti-zoo organisation), zoo managers should take a serious look at ways in which the inherent problems of boredom (in zoo animals) can be cured, before the opponents of zoos move in and wreak havoc."

Mr Toft was just slightly ahead of the field. The ripples that ran through the zoo establishment following the publication and subsequent press exposure of Paul Horsman's report "Captive Polar Bears in the UK and Ireland" are still having their knock-on effect.

Many keepers and zoo people recognised the glaring problems exposed by Paul Horsman's research—the number of polar bears mentally affected by confinement in impoverished zoo environments, the low breeding success rate, the high level of cub mortality. Many now accept that the 'necessity' for keeping these animals on the grounds of conservation and education is non-existent.

Hopefully this exposure will lead to fewer or even no polar bears being kept in captivity in the future—no bad thing from the bears' point of view. However, the effect this 'bad' publicity has had on the zoo hierarchy has been positively paranoid.

IZN readers will, no doubt, be aware of the work of Ian Redmond, BSc CBiol MIBiol, on gorillas and elephants. Mr Redmond was an associate of the late Dr Dian Fossey and, though he is a Consultant to Zoo Check, he is by no means an 'anti-zoo man'. In fact, this year he has undertaken a major American lecture tour, organised by and targeted at many leading American zoos.

It is Ian Redmond that Zoo Check

approached to see if he would undertake two comprehensive surveys; 'Great Apes in the UK and Ireland' and 'Pachyderms in the UK and Ireland'. In our opinion, no one was better qualified for the job.

As with the research by Paul Horsman on polar bears, Zoo Check was to have provided the funding and Mr Redmond would have been left to get on with the research as he saw fit.

I say "was" because, although the surveys will go ahead, the Zoo Federation, in an attempt to crush any independent review of zoo animals, has stated that it will not cooperate with Ian Redmond. Unpublished data will be withheld, behind the scenes access denied and no interviews with keepers will be allowed.

It seems strange that, in those areas where there does seem to be a genuine common ground between Zoo Check and zoos, namely the better welfare and understanding of animals, that the Federation should act so short-sightedly. Not only would the surveys cost the zoos nothing, but the findings would be available to everyone, zoos and Zoo Check alike. Such comprehensive research would almost certainly reveal useful information concerning the better keeping of the animals we all care so much about.

Which brings me back to my opening quotation from Mr Toft. What he says is true. Whether it's animal 'boredom' hygiene, breeding, welfare or zoo education, conservation, research or 'entertainment', the 'closed-door' policy of the Federation can only serve to reinforce Zoo Check's conviction that there is something to hide and that the facts concerning many species of captive wildlife will not stand up to public scrutiny. The polar bear was the first—now we want to find out the truth about all the others.

Yours faithfully

William Travers
Zoo Check
Dorking, UK.