

Rhinos, football

and investment management

Richard Emslie
Scientific Officer, African Rhino Specialist Group



football team can expect to win anything if it has a weak defence that leaks goals. Rhino conservation is no different. Protection of rhino populations is and will remain a key component of any successful rhino conservation strategy.

To be successful, rhino poaching has to be kept at low levels through effective protection, intelligence, investigations, prosecutions and community conservation work. Park managers are rightly concerned whenever they lose a single rhino to poaching. However, problems can occur if management focuses on protection to the exclusion of other complementary approaches - while one can see, touch and smell a poached rhino carcass, one can't see a rhino that wasn't born due to sub-optimal biological management. The latter problem is more abstract, and this is possibly why it has not received the attention it deserves until recently.

The Dunfermline defence

In football, even the best defence can concede a goal to a piece of brilliance, such as a Nakamura-curl'd free-kick from the edge of the box into the top corner of the net (to sink Manchester United in the Champion's League, maybe?). However, as long as one has a potent attack capable of regularly scoring two or three goals a game, one is 1) more likely to win matches and 2) losing the odd goal (which all teams do from time to time) will not be so serious.

Over the last couple of years, losses of black rhino in Zimbabwe to poaching and snaring injuries has increased significantly. Fortunately, these losses have almost been cancelled out by rapid breeding in a number of Zimbabwean Lowveld populations, which are amongst the best performing in Africa. The lesson here is that rapid growth provides more of a buffer against poaching.

As a Dunfermline Athletic fan (who appropriately play in African rhino colours - black and white) I know all too well that the corollary holds. At the time of writing, Dunfermline remains bottom of the Scottish Premier League, having failed to score a single goal in its last nine league matches. The team has defended reasonably well during this period (three 0-0 draws and three 0-1 defeats); but this alone doesn't produce enough points - to do that the team has to start scoring goals. In the same way, successful rhino conservation requires having both a potent attack (good biological management for population growth) and a good defence.

Rhino banking

The different populations of rhinos in a country can together be thought of as a national herd (a 'metapopulation') with interchange of animals between populations. The management of these various rhino investments (populations) is in many ways similar to managing a share or other investment portfolio. Thanks to the effects of compounding of growth rates, small differences in growth rates / yields matter - a job - whether one is managing an investment portfolio, paying off a mortgage, or seeking to increase rhino numbers. Small increases in rhino "investment returns" can translate, in just a few years, to hundreds more rhinos.

The other side of the coin is that sub-optimal breeding performance can be have a very significant negative impact on rhino numbers in only a few years. South Africa's D.b. minor (southern-central black rhino) metapopulation performance illustrates this well. Numbers grew rapidly by an average of 6.5% annually from 1989-1996. Following a period of conservative removals, rhino densities increased in some long-established populations and overall growth rates declined to only 2% over the next five years (1996-2001). The active promotion of improved biological management by the AfrSG since 2001 has coincided with South Africa's D.b. minor metapopulation performance improving a little up to 3.9% (2001-2005), although this was still below minimum target levels of at least 5% per year. The end result of this reduced performance over just nine years is that by the end of 2005, there were an estimated 456 fewer D.b. minor in South Africa than there would have been had 6.5% growth been maintained since 1996!

Examples such as this have helped bring home to managers and decision-makers that sub-optimal biological management can be more devastating to rhino numbers than limited poaching. Managing for rapid population growth also minimises loss of genetic diversity, increasing long-term viability as well as providing surplus rhinos for new populations.

Biological management of black rhino primarily seeks to prevent overstocking of black rhinos (and also perhaps other competing browsers) in well-established populations, as overstocking could reduce the abundance of quality food for rhino cows, increasing inter-calving intervals, age at first calving (i.e. negatively affecting birth rates) as well as reducing calf survival and hence performance. By removing some rhino, and possibly also some competing browsers, browsing pressure is reduced with a view to improving nutrition for remaining rhino cows, and hopefully allowing them to churn out calves at a more rapid rate. The removed rhinos can also be invested in other (often new) populations with good growth potential.

